PIBE Preserve

App. F. IX-4

193 0. (N.P.)

File No. 81-29

Serial Nos. ~~1~~ 7

15, 17 to 19, 21, 22

Previous References

N.P. 81-29 - 304.

Later References

V.P 44-31 (1-2)
UP 46-31 (1, 6, 15 & 17)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Six

Serial Nos. (15), 17, 18, 19, 21 & 22

Part B for Dec. 30.

SUBJECT.

Association of Village Panchayet Inspectors.

G.O No N. 5188-97 Dy 7-12-30.

Sanction the Revised scale of pay fixing the pay of the N.P. organizer at Rs 60 + 35 T.A p.m —

Serial No. 15

Letter No. C. 3908 Dy 2.8.30 from Collr. Reporting about the action taken for the construction of village Panchayat Inspectors in the Ceded Districts.

The file leading to letter No. K 7444 VP 81-29-4 Dy 14.4.30 has been sent up for the note in connection with the provision to be made for Rural Reconstruction during 1930-1 & sent to JC—B. It may kindly be sent back for working up this file case.

16.8.30

16/8/30

From BR (from camp) Dy 16/8/30

2 The connected file is returned herewith.

16/8 1878 L.S. BR with Compliments

3. From the Revenue's letter it is seen that arrangements have been made for the

8248/JC 16/8/30. MKR 16/8

P U D

WD 02328—GPB—10,000—22-4-30

Serial No.

absorption of all but 14 V.P. Inspectors out of which one Inspector has been posted for the Nelamdeo Jahagir by the D.C Haripore and the remaining 13 are proposed to be posted to Tamluk Distt as noted in the P.O. - D. orders are required on the following points

1. Posting of a V.P. Inspector for Nelamdeo for purchased work the cost being borne by Govt.
2. Posting of 13 Inspectors as proposed to the Tamluk Distt
3 Treating the difference of pay in the case of absorbed Inspectors, as personal allowance, till they can be found proper places

2. ~~It is not stated by the cost of the Inspector to be posted to Nelamdeo will be borne by the Jahagirdar or by Govt. If the former the Rev Dr has perhaps to be consulted.~~

4 The actual amount required for meeting the personal allowance proposed in 2 & 3 has not been furnished

Note–Contn. Sheet

(3-22) 1003

File No. 87 of 1929

General and Revenue Secretariat

Rev Comr No L 1907 Dy 3.9.30
Enquires if any savings will be available for meeting the cost of the Cinema Operators Act.

Letter No C. 3998 Dy 5.9.30 from the Rev Comr states that there will be no savings under the provision made for Y. Panchayat Inspectors & that the cost of the Cinema Operators Act may be met out of the provision made under Contingencies.

GEN. REV. SECTT. 6 SEPT. 1930 L. & G. BRANCH

Sec A 4/9

10. Draft Sanction

The extension of the scheme of the Cinema Operators Act is put up on the linked file. This file records the observations of the 13 Inspectors. The Rev Comr proposes many kind (name perhaps the approved. Submitted for orders

1st Member) 11.9.30

11.9.30

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 12 SEPT. 1930 No. 2478

C&S 13/9

15.9.30

Draft put up

15-9-30

Issue

17.9.30.

Serial No.

but it is stated that the provision made will be quite sufficient to meet the cost of the 14 remaining men & the allowance be opened to be given to some of those already absorbed. In another piece the Revenue expects to utilise the savings under the provision made for these Inspectors, for the continuance of the V.P. Cinema operator & his staff pending Cy 30-1 also. Submit for orders

Ex 9-5-30 The R.C. may be asked to state if after meeting the cost of the 14 V.P. Inspectors there will be savings available for the Cinema Operator, etc - also

2nd Member

20.8.30

6 Cy 23/8

28.8.30

7 Draft 25/8/30

8 Draft put up

9. Issue

29.8.30

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
21 AUG. 1930
No. 1607

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

28/8/30 WD 3553—G PB—50,000—8 7 30

1328
2683

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 81 of 1929

Serial No.	
19	G.O. no. L. 2690-1 D. 26-9-30 forwarding the Revenue proposals.
21	Letter no. C. 3998 D. 21-11-30 Revenue. Requesting that three of these organizers may be fixed at Rs. 60+35-7-1 from the date of their appointment as such. Draft approved in put up for order. Appr. 27.11.30 (1st Member) 28.11.30
	B/12 Issue 13.12.30
22	G.O. no. L. 5788-97 D. 13-12-30 forwarding the Revenue proposals

B 8/10.

B 23/12

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 29 NOV. 1930 No. 5506

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 02553—G PB—50,000—8 7 30

V.P. 81-29-15

No. J.3998/29-30. 00409

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 2nd August 1930.

-7 AUG 1930

GEN 703

L. B. G. BRANCH

FROM Rajakarya Pravina
P.G. D'Souza, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

To R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General
~~The Chief~~ *Secretary to the Government*
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter No.L.7444/V.P. 81-29-4 dated the 14th April 1930, re the absorption of Village Panchayet Inspectors in the District Board Establishment or elsewhere with effect from 1st July 1930 etc., I have the honour to state that needful instructions had been issued to the Deputy Commissioners in the matter. From their reports since received, it is seen that, all except 14 Village Panchayet Inspectors have been or will be absorbed, either by reversion to the posts of Revenue Inspectors etc., which they formerly held, or by appointment as clerks in District Offices pending availability of suitable vacancies for them. As regards the remaining 14 persons who could not be absorbed for want of vacancies, it is understood, that all these were formerly Sanitary Inspectors under District Boards and are not eligible for being absorbed in the higher ministerial ranks as they do not possess required qualifications of the Revenue Department. The Deputy Commissioners have been instructed to continue these persons as Village Panchayet Inspectors in their Districts till suitable places can be found for their absorption. The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore has reported, that he has posted one Village Panchayet Inspector to the Yelanaur Jaghir, which is under Government management and that it is very necessary to have a Village Panchayet Inspector in the Jaghir to properly organise and supervise the Village Panchayet work there. This may be kindly sanctioned by Government. Excluding this Village Panchayet Inspector, there will therefore be 13 Village Panchayet Inspectors who have to be absorbed as indicated in the

margin. It is a point for consideration whether these may be left where they are now or whether they may not be distributed amongst all the Districts for work, pending absorption, the larger Districts being allowed two Panchayet Inspectors and the smaller Districts one Village Panchayet Inspector as indicated in the margin, so that the Deputy Commissioners may keep them employed more usefully in Taluks where there may be heavy work. I think the latter arrangement is more desirable and orders of Government may be kindly obtained in the matter.

District.	No. to be absorbed.
Bangalore. ...	2
Kolar. ...	1
Tumkur. ...	4
Hassan. ...	2
Shimoga. ...	4

Bangalore.	...	2
Kolar.	...	2
Tumkur.	...	2
Mysore.	...	2 (excluding 1 Village Panchayet Inspector of Yelandur.)
Hassan.	...	1
Shimoga.	...	2
Kadur.	...	1
Chitaldrug.	...	1
Total...		13

2. Some of the Deputy Commissioners have reported that a few Village Panchayet Inspectors who were formerly Sanitary Inspectors have been appointed to posts carrying lower pay than their grade pay as Sanitary Inspectors for want of suitable vacancies and that as this works as a hardship, such persons will have to be allowed a personal allowance equivalent to the difference between their pay as Sanitary Inspectors and the pay of the posts in which they have now been appointed, till they can be absorbed in proper vacancies. This is a reasonable proposal and may be kindly sanctioned by Government. I may mention that there are only a few cases of this kind and it does not involve much cost. The cost of the 14 Village Panchayet Inspectors together with the Personal Allowance in a few cases will be well within the Budget provision made therefor, even assuming that all these 14 posts will be continued during the year 1930-31. It is however expected that it will be possible to absorb some of these in vacancies that may

may occur hereafter under District Boards or Municipalities.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

ER.

Appendix F G—1

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section 4 P.

File No. 81-29.

Serial No. 17

No. L 1899 1907

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

(

the 193 .

To The Revenue Commr. in Emporia.

Sir

Date of issue 3/9/30

Signed by Mr V. S. Sastry

With reference to your letter No. 3998 dt 2-8-30, about the abolition of the 4th remaining V.P. Inspectors, I am directed to enquire of you whether the cost of the Inspectors referred to above, there would be any savings available (omitting the cost of [illegible] for the contemnance

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

under the provision made for V.P. Inspectors

[over

of the Licensed Operator the
Inspector to in your
letter dated 6-7-28 dy 12-8-30
I have the
29.8.30
Yours Faithfully

V.P. 81-29-18

02492

No.

C. 3998/29-30

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore, 5th September *192* 30.

FROM

S.P.Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

IT.

L 1328

To

R. R a n g a R a o. Esq.B.A.,B.L.,
The Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.
General Departments

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.L. 1907 V.P- 81-29-17 dated 3rd September 1930 enquiring whether after meeting the cost of the 14 Village Panchayet Inspectors,there would be any savings available under the provision made for Village Panchayet Inspectors, for meeting the cost of the continuance of the Cinema Operator referred to in this Office letter No.C.1718/29-30 dated 12th August 1930, I have the honour to state that the cost on account of the 14 Village Panchayet Inspectors will be Rs 14630/- as already reported in this Office letter No.C. 549/30-31 dated 20th August 1930, No balance will therefore be available for the pay etc, of the Cinema Operator, If it is not possible to get an additional grant,sanction of Government may kindly be obtained at an early date for reappropriating the amount required from the provision made under contingencies under 30:5 Rural Reconstruction,as already requested in this Office letter No.C.1718/29-30 dated 12th August 1930.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Rajagopal

Revenue Commissioner.

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT..... .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Sec P.

File No. 81-29.

Serial No. 19

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 26/9/30

Signed by Mr. V. S. Sastri

Read –

Correspondence ending with letter no C. 3998-29 dy 5-9-30 from the Revenue in Mysore, reporting that all the V. Panchayat Inspectors, except the three Order Thereon No. (seen also the) that out of these 14, one Inspector has been relieved by the DC Mysore for the organisation and supervision of V. P. work in the relaunden jahagir and requesting sanction to post the remaining 13 Inspectors do noted proposals in respect of the several Distts as noted

In the margin for Parliament
or other work at the discretion
of the Dy Coms. Concerned
and also requesting that
in respect of certain R-D.
Inspectors (who were formerly
Survey Inspectors) who have been
absorbed in posts carrying
lower pay than their grade
pay as Survey Inspectors,
the difference may be
treated as personal allowance
till they can be absorbed in
proper vacancies, the cost
of the above proposals being
met out of the provision
made for R-D. Inspectors under
'30-5 - Rural Reconstruction'
during 1930-1.

Dist.	no of R-D Inspts
Bangalore	2
Kolar	2
Tumkur	2
Mysore	2
Hassan	1
Shimoga	2
Kadur	1
Chital	1
Total	13

List of enclosure.

---

order no L2690-91 dy 26-9-30

Copied by

The Rev Comm proposals
are sanctioned

Examined by

17.9.30. for Secy Rev

WD 079—GPB—8000—10-10-29

To the Revenue
the Comptroller

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read:-

Correspondence ending with letter No.C.3998-29 dated 5th September 1930, from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, reporting that all the Village Panchayet Inspectors, except 14 have been absorbed, that out of these 14, one Inspector has been posted by the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore for the organisation and Supervision of Village Panchayet work in the Yelandur Jahagir and requesting sanction to post the remaining 13 Inspectors to the several Districts as noted in the margin for Panchayet or other work at the discretion of the Deputy Commissioners concerned and also requesting that in respect of certain Village Panchayet Inspectors (who were formerly Sanitary Inspectors) who have been -- absorbed in posts carrying lower pay than their grade pay as Sanitary Inspectors, the difference may be treated as personal allowance till they can be absorbed in proper vacancies, the cost of the above proposals being met out of the provision made for Village Panchayet Inspectors under "30-5- Rural Reconstruction" during 1930-1.

District.	No. of Village Panchayet Inspectors.
Bangalore.	2.
Kolar.	2.
Tumkur.	2.
Mysore.	2.
Hassan.	1.
Shimoga.	2.
Kadur.	1
Chitaldrug.	1
Total No.	13

ORDER NO. L. 24 90-91/V.P 81-29-15

Dated, Bangalore, the 24th September 1930.

The Revenue Commissioner's proposals are sanctioned.

V Subrahmanyasastri

For General Secretary to Government.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
" Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.

R.M.R.3.
23/9.

V. 81. 29. 21

No. C.3998/29-30.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE,

Dated, Bangalore,..19th..November....1930.

21 NOV 1930

FROM

S. P. Rajagopalachari, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

L 2683

TO

R. Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
General
~~The Chief~~ *Secretary to the Government*
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

1528

SIR,

Adverting to Government Order No.L.2690-91/V.P. 81-29-15 dated 26th September 1930 approving my predecessor's proposals to employ the supernumerary Village Panchayet Inspectors as Village Panchayet Organisers in the Districts, I have the honour to state that these Organisers were proposed to be given a salary of Rs.60/- plus 35/- (Fixed Travelling Allowance) a month (Vide this office letter No.549/30-31 dated 20th August 1930). As their pay and allowances as stated above are not specifically mentioned in the Government Order, it is likely that some difficulty may be felt in their being paid accordingly. I request that you will kindly obtain orders of Government stating that these Organisers will, from the date of their appointment as such, draw a salary of Rs.60/- plus Fixed Travelling Allowance Rs.35/- per month.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Revenue Commissioner.

BR

G.R.S. App. F VI G-2]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Sec. VP.

File No. 81-29

Serial No. 22

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193* .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 17/12/30

Signed by Mr V. S Sastri

May this be

(i) Printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

Read— G.O no F.2690-1 VP. 81-29-15 Dy 26-9-3, sanctioning (the posting of 13 (supernumerary) village Panchayat Inspectors to the ... General Dists, for Panchayat or other work at the discretion of the Dy Comrs concerned.

2 Order Thereon No. Letter no C. 3998-29 Dy 21-11-30 from the Revenue Commissioner, Mysore stating that the Panchayat Inspectors referred to in the order read above were to be posted to the General

Derby as Organizers of
Village Panchayet work
in the respective Dists
and that will effect from
the date of their appointment
as such, the Inspectors
will draw salary at
Rs 60 p.m. plus Fixed
T.A. of Rs 35 p.m.

Ordered L 5788-97 & 17-12-30
The Revenue Conference
is Abandoned.

28.11.30
for Secretary

To the Revenue Dept
The Comptroller
The Dy Comm of Devs

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d :-

Government Order No.L.2690-1 V.P.81-29-13 dated the 26th September 1930, sanctioning the posting of 13 supernumerary Village Panchayet Inspectors to the several Districts, for Panchayet or other work at the discretion of the Deputy Commissioner concerned.

R. Letter No.U. 3990-29 dated the 21st November 1930, from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, stating that the Panchayet Inspectors referred to in the order read above will be posted to the several Districts as organisers of Village Panchayet work in the respective Districts and that with effect from the date of their appointment as such, the Inspectors will draw Salary at Rs.60/- plus Fixed Travelling Allowance of Rs.35/- per mensem.

ORDER No. 5788-97/V.P 81-29-22

Dated, Bangalore, the 17th December 1930.

The Revenue Commissioner's proposal is approved.

V Subramanyasastry

For General Secretary to Government.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.
" Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.
" Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

R.O.N. 12.
16/12.